Sri A. SHANKARA ALVA (Minister for Co-operation).—

(*a*) Rs. 1,78,351.09
(From 31-3-1966 to 30-6-1972)

(*b*) Rs. 196.86 lakhs

(*c*) Rs. 86.12 lakhs
(From 1955-56 to 1964-65).

	(Rs. in lakhs)
1969-70 ..	32.06
1970-71 ..	29.76
From 1969-70 to 1970-71	61.82

(*d*) For developing banking efficiency.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE.

(i) *re : Misbehaviour of a Doctor (Health Unit), Kanakatte*

Sri H. S. SIDDAPPA (Arsikere).—I call the attention of the Minister for Health to the complaint regarding misbehaviour of a Doctor (Health Unit), Kanakatte.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Health).—I state as follows :—

According to the report received till now from the District Health and Family Planning Officer, Hassan, the facts are as follows :—

One Dr. Dharme Gowda has been posted to the Primary Health Centre, Kanakatte against a Lady Medical Officer's post about three months back. The other doctor of this Primary Health Centre is Dr. Hanume Gowda. A complaint was received from Shri M. S. Eswarappa, Member, V. P. Sathanagere on 27th September 1972 and another complaint from Sri S. B. Murulappa, Chairman, Village Panchayat, Sathanagere on 7th November 1972 and in respect of these two complaints the District Health and F.P. Officer, Hassan, conducted a detailed enquiry in the matter on 17th November 1972, after giving advance intimation to all the parties concerned. It is stated that Sri S. B. Murulappa, went to Dr. Dharme Gowda on 27th September 1972 for taking an injection for some skin disease. It is complained that the Doctor used foul language and asked Sri Murulappa to get out and used the words "Rascal, Non-sense", etc. The Doctor has replied during the course of enquiry that it

(SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA)

was a fact that Sri Murulappa came to his residence on 27th September 1972 during leisure hours and that he asked him to come to the dispensary at 4-00 P.M. and also that he used the word ,' get out ". The version of Sri S. B. Murulappa is corroborated by Sri M. S. Eswarappa, V.P. Member who is also stated to have been presented on 27th September 1972 when Sri Murulappa approached Dr. Dharme Gowda for treatment.

It is also brought out during the course of the enquiry that Dr. Dharme Gowda is not courteous towards patients who attend the dispensary and the public have complained against his behaviour and the language used by him before the ladies who attend the dispensary for Family Planning advice. The persons who have spoken to these facts are Sri H. K. Seetharamaiah, V.P. Member, Kanakatte, Sri K. Hanumegowda, Village Panchayat Vice-Chairman, Kasuvanahalli, and incharge Head-Master, High School, Kanakatte and other members of the public of the village. All these people have expressed the need to post a Lady Doctor displacing Dr. Dharme Gowda, who is working against the post of Lady Medical Officer.

It is also stated that Dr. Dharme Gowda administered some drugs to a dog near his house which died within two minutes of the administration of the drugs and when he was questioned about it by some of the villagers, he is said to have stated that he did it for some research work. This has been denied by Dr. Dharme Gowda.

The behaviour of this Doctor towards patients and also unwarranted treatment of the stray dog was also raised in the District Development Council Meeting on 24th November 1972 by the Hon'ble Member Sri H. S. Siddappa. The concensus of opinion of the public as well as the leaders of the area is that this doctor should be transferred immediately and a Lady Medical Officer should be posted in his place.

Further information about the other points raised in the Call Attention Notice is being collected. After the receipt of the full report in respect of these subjects also, suitable action will be taken against this doctor, if the circumstances warrant the same. Further, immediate action is being taken to transfer the Doctor and to post a Lady Medical Officer to Primary Health Centre, Kanakatte.

Sri H. S. SIDDAPPA.—I want to submit to the House that due sympathy towards the Doctor who is mentally affected is also necessary. Since he has served for a long period he may be sent for mental observation at Mental Hospital, Bangalore or to an Expert Surgeon. Further, I submit that the place where the said Doctor is working being a Family Planning Centre where at least 20 to 30

operations are done daily I am afraid if this Doctor is continued even for a moment there, instead of doing family planning operation he may do some other operation.

10.00 A.M.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I do not concede that he is a mental patient because there is no such report before me. It looks as though he has got some abnormalities. I am awaiting for further report in this behalf. However, that Doctor will be transferred from that place immediately and a Lady Doctor in his place will be posted there.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—The Hon'ble Minister stated that the Doctor has some abnormalities. Whether it is physical or mental ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I said it looks as though he has got some abnormalities.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೋಣಂಜೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್ ನಮ್ಮ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದವರು. ಅವರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನನಗೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆ ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್ ನಮ್ಮ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ಯಾವಾಗಲೂ ಚಾಕು ಇಟ್ಟು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಮಾನವೀಯತೆ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ನೋಡಬೇಕು. ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಕಂಪಲ್ಸರಿ ರಿಟೈರ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರು ಫರ್ದರ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಕಾಲ್‌ಫಾರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರಲ್ಲಾ. ಆ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟನ್ನು ಅವೈಟ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri K. PRABHAKAR.—The Hon'ble Minister being a lawyer it is not proper to make an experiment by posting that Doctor who is stated to be having some abnormalities to any other place. Let the Doctor become alright for some time. He may as well be posted to some records section where he could do some statistical work or he could be granted leave for some time. If you transfer him to some other place then also it would be troublesome for the people of that area and the same complaints will be received from the public. Therefore I submit that transfer is not a sufficient remedy.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I will bear the suggestion in mind.

Madam SPEAKER.—Now we shall take up the next call attention notice.

(ii) *re : Hardship caused to the industrial workers due to lockout in H.M.T.*

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN (Malleswaram).—I call the attention of the Hon'ble Minister for Labour to the hardship caused to the industrial workers due to lock-out in H.M.T.

Sri AZEEZ SAIT (Minister for Labour).—I state as follows:

1. There are three registered Trade Unions in H.M.T. Ltd., Bangalore, *viz.*, H.M.T. Karmika Sangha (Affiliated to INTUC), H.M.T. Employees Association (Affiliated to AITUC) and H.M.T. Mazdoor Sangha (Affiliated to Bharatiya Mazdoor Sangh). Of these three, the H.M.T. Karmika Sangha has been recognised since 1961 under the Code of Discipline in industry. In the year 1968, the H.M.T. Employees Association applied for recognition on the plea that it enjoys majority support. Accordingly, verification of membership was undertaken by the Implementation and Evaluation Authority. The verification went on for quite some time since the procedure involved was time-consuming and depended upon the collection of facts and material from the Trade Union involved in this matter. This question was placed before the State Industrial Relations Implementation and Evaluation Committee from time to time and ultimately on 4th October 1972 it resolved as follows:—

> "The Committee after going into the subject in great detail and after having regard to the changed situation decided that the matter be closed and statusquo maintained. However, the Unions would be free to make fresh applications for recognition if they so desire".

As a result of the inter-union rivalry, there was serious labour trouble in the H.M.T. resulting in the Management declaring a lock-out on 8th September 1972. A meeting of all the registered Trade Unions was called in the Chambers of the Labour Minister on 29th September 1972 to discuss the above situation and to ensure the lifting of the lock-out. During the above meeting the representatives of the HMT Karmika Sangha which is the recognised Union refused to participate. However they assured that they would abide by the Code of Discipline to maintain peace and discipline. in their representation, dated 20th September 1972, addressed to the Minister for Labour. However, after considerable discussion, a settlement was brought about between the representatives of the Management, the HMT (EA) and HMT Mazdoor Sangh agreeing to the lifting of the lock out from 22nd September 1972. According to the above settlement the Management lifted the lock-out and working commenced from the above date.

Inspite of the assurances given by the Unions, to maintain discipline and to give maximum production, it is reported that acts of indiscipline were on the increase and there were complaints and counter-complaints by rival Unions, leading to consequential loss of production.

In accordance with the understanding arrived at the discussions before the Labobur Minister on 20th September 1972, the Management met the representatives of the HMT (EA) on 9th October

to discuss the problems relating to their members. The representatives of the Karmika Sangha are reported to have resented the Management's meeting the representatives of the Employees Association. A second meeting with the representatives of the HMT (EA) was convened on 9th November 1972. Even during this meeting the representatives of the Karmika Sangha are reported to have prevented the General Manager from attending the above meeting and resorted to violence which resulted in damage to company's property and threat to the lives of the negotiating parties. Despite the above violence and disturbance, the General Manager went ahead with the meeting with the necessary police bandhobust. In consequence of the above incidents the Management suspended 8 workrs and charge-sheeted 16 others who were membebrs of the Karmika Sangha.

On 10th November 1972, the situation worsened right from the commencement of the first shift. It is reported that a group of 200 employees belonging to the Employees Association went round the factory assaulting workers and also the members of the Karmika Sangha. Even the Supervisory staff was stopped from entering the factory. During the above incident, more than 30 employees inclusive of 4 Supervisory staff were injured and were treated in the HMT Hospital. For these acts of violence the Management has suspended 31 workers and charge sheeted 31 others belonging to the HMT (EA).

In view of this explosive situation, there was no alternative for the Management but to declare a lock-out with effect from 10th November 1972. Further the Management has withdrawn the facilities granted to the recognised Union and also the facilities agreed to be accorded to the representatives of the HMT (EA).

During this period of lock-out it is reported that there htve been violent activities in the HMT Colony by members of both the groups. In one instance an Ambassador Car and a Scooter were seized by the Police which were alleged to be carrying deadly weapons. From this it is clear that inter-union rivalry had taken a very ugly turn endagering the lives of inhabitants of th HMT Colony.

I have had discussions with the Management regarding the lifting of the lock-out. The Management is prepared to lift the lock-out with immediate effect provided there is a positive assurance from all the parties concerned who are involved in violent activities, that they would maintain discipline, peace and production without resorting to violence as in the past.

It is also reported that the workmen in general are anxious to get back to work and not interested in the inter-union rivalry. In fact this Union rivalry has come in the way of the settlement of the bonus issue even though the Management is keen on paying 8 1/3 per cent minimum bonus pending adjudication of the bonus

(SRI AZEEZ SAIT)

dispute now pending before the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore. Further, these employees, feeling helpless due to this inter-union rivalry have formed a separate union called the HMT Youth Workers Union which is reported to have been since registered under the Trade Unions Act.

The present situation appears to have been created by a section of interested persons representing the various Trade Unions already operating in the Industry. If the above representatives were to assure discipline, peace and production, immediate steps would be taken to call all the parties in connection with the liftng of the lock-out and resumption of work with immediate effect.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—This statement which has been submitted by the Hon'ble Minister has completely alleged the Trade Unions for the inter-union rivalry and given a clean chit to the Management as though the Management is not at all in the picture and it was very very keen on doing everything. I am really very sorry that such a statement should have been given by the Minister because I do not know whether he has gone into all aspects of the case. The fact is, even on 9th when the discussions were taking place the Management did not give sufficient protection to members who were negotiating including myself—I was also a party to it. They did not even called the police. A section of the Management in collusion with the Karmik Sangh has done all these things. If they had taken action on the 9th itself, then the 10th incident would not have happened. I throw a challenge to the Minister if he is prepared to conduct an impartial enquiry in the entire affairs in H.M.T. I am prepared to face any enquiry; let the guilty person be punished. If I am guilty I am prepared to face it. Is the Hon'ble Minister prepared to conduct an enquiry and punish others also ?

Sri AZEEZ SAIT.—I am not here to take challenges or throw challenges. The statement is factual. I have not added a word more or a word less. Hon'ble Member Sri Krishnan will agree that when he was in the meeting with the General Manager—I have stated in my statement—that a section of workers of Karmik Sangh did try to prevent the meeting inspite of the fact that the General Manager went through the meeting and it is a fact that Sri Krishnan was in the meeting with the General Manager till 2.00 A.M. that day. It is also a fact that in the beginning when the workers started preventing the meeting, the General Manager had to come down and police was also called and Sri Krishnan was requested to come and address the workers. Sri Krishnan went outside the building, stood on the compound wall, addressed the workers and advised the workers to come and resume work. After that Sri Krishnan went to the meeting again. Sri Krishnan knows all

about this. Both the groups, *viz.*, the Karmik Sangh and the H.M.T. (EA) are accusing the management as though the Management was responsible for the unfortunate trouble in the factory. Sri Krishnan is now trying to show further proofs and strengthen my statement that inter-union rivalry still exists. Until both the groups come forwar and give an assurance of peaceful activities and work in the factory, the Management cannot risk on the lives of the workers and property of the factory.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—The Hon'ble Minister again made a statement glossing over certain facts. Is it not a fact that on the 9th when this meeting took place the Police were not at all told about it ? Is it not a fact that when the meeting was taking place, even though we requested the Personnel Manager—we saw some of these people with deadly weapons—to see some action was taken—no action was taken. The statement is based upon half-truths and we cannot accept it.

Madam SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member may not accept it !.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—The Minister is in possession of all the facts. As he has stated, he is having the police report, the report of the Management and his own report. I am asking whtther these are all facts or not ? I totally agree with some facts. But prior to that the Police were not informed and nobody came. Is it not also a fact that the Management assured then and there that those responsible for such violence would be suspended ? It is a fact that because they were not suspended the troubble took place on the 10th. Why the Minster is hiding all these things ?

Sri AZEEZ SAIT.—Madam Speaker, I am very sorry a seasoned labour leader Sri Krishnan to force me to say something, which I am not prepare to say. I have stated that I have not added a word more or less. Hon'ble Member is aware that he wanted to meet the management and the Management said that particularly in Bangalore an unfortunate incident had happened in recent days and the Police is very busy, we cannot have a meeting now and we will postpone the meeting to 11th or 12th. The Hon'ble Member said that he does not require protection of police and he insisted that the meeting should take place on the 9th. The Management had no other alternative, but to accept it, and hold the meeting on the 9th. The management could intervene when it was necessary. It was stated that the Hon'ble Member was assaulted. I completely disagree ; he was not assaulted. A piece of glass came to his cheek and there was a scratch. The Security staff prevented other members coming in. The management have taken action promptly suspending six members and charge-sheeting them. But this trouble started at 5-30 A.M. in the morning even before the General Manager or the staff could come into the factory. After all they are human beings. If violent activities inducted into the industry what would be the

(SRI AZEEZ SAIT)

fate of the industry of the conutry? It is a public sector and they have to co-operate with the public sector. It is their own money and they cannot damage the industry like this. There is loss of Rs. 40 lakhs on account of the inter-union rivalry in this industry.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—The Minister cannot make a statement like this and get away.

Madam SPEAKER.—This is a call-attention motion. The Hon'ble Member cannot convert it into a Question Hour.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—My point is, certain matters are to be clarified.

Madam SPEAKER.—We are guided by the rules. Let us go to the next subject.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—Madam Speaker, please permit me—I want a clarification from the Minister for Labour.

Transfers in P.H.E. Department

Sri H. S. SIDDAPPA.—Officials in the P.H.E. Department are being transferred and they are being relieved enmass.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—One clarification Madam Speaker.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕಾಲಿಂಗ್ ಅಟೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ನೋಟಿಸ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರು, ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕ್ಲಾರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಕೇಳಿದರು. ತಾವು ಇದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರ ವೇಳೆಯಾಗಿ ಪರಿವರ್ತಿಸಬೇಡಿ.

Sri H. S. SIDDAPPA.—Enmass they are being relieved; they do not get houses food, water, etc.

Madam SPEAKER.—It is an administrative question. The Hon'ble Member will please resume his seat.

Sri H. S. SIDDAPPA.—Only from Mysore district they are posting.

ಅಧ್ಯ ರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕಾಗದ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ಭೂಮಂತ್ರಗಾಳಿ ಮಾಡುವುದ ಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಭೂ ಮಂತ್ರಗಾಳಿ ಮಾಡಿ, ಒಂದೇ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಒಂದೇ ಇರಾಖೆಯಿಂದ ಪಿ. ಎಚ್. ಇ. ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ 50 ಜನ ಅಫಿಷಿಯರ್ಸ್ ರಿಲೀವ್ ಮಾಡಿಬಿಟ್ಟು ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕಿಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹೀಗಾದರೆ ಅವರು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಹೇಗೆ ? ಅವರ ಹೆಂಡತಿ ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಗತಿ ಏನು ?

H.M.T. Lock-Out Affairs and Absence of the Minister

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—The Hon'ble Minister for Labour is going away from the House.

Madam SPEAKER.—That subject is closed. That is over.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ನಾನು ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಕ್ಲಾರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—Madam Speaker, on this subject, *i.e.*, about the lock-out in H.M.T. the Hon'ble Member Sri M. S. Krishnan is not the only person concerned. In fact he is a party to it. He is running an Union and he has called the attention of the Minister for Labour. But, the more important thing here is, the Management have declared a lock-out and 4,000 workers are without job. There must be some solution. The Minister in charge of Labour has read out a statement and thrown the blame on both the Unions, INTUC and AITUC. But, I want to know whether the Evaluation and Implementation Committee has recommended the recognition of these unions and which of the Union carries majority of workers. Even before we could know this the Minister has gone.

Madam SPEAKER.—That subject is finished and the Hon'ble Minister has gone.

Sri H. D. DEVE GOWDA.—The way he went out is not correct. You have to take action.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Minister for Industries).—Are we to be guided by the Hon'ble Speaker or by the Hon'ble Member ?

(*Interruptions*)

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—That is not the way the Hon'ble Minister should behave.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—We strongly protest at the way the Minister is behaving.

Sri H. D. DEVE GOWDA.—You know how the call attention notices are being disposed of.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—All of us know. We are guided by the Hon'ble Speaker and there is nothing wrong in the Minister for Labour going out.

Madam SPEAKER.—I said that the subject is finished.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—* * * *

You have expunged those words. Of course we leave it to you. We are not here just because our speeches have to be recorded. The question is when the subject was not closed, how can the Minister go.

Madam SPEAKER.—I have said it is closed and then the Minister left the House.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I was on my legs and the Hon'ble Member Sri Siddappa stood up for some other purpose.

****Word/s expunged as ordered by the Chair.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—You will kindly agree with me that the whole purpose of Calling Attention Notice is to see whether they are going to lift the lock-out and secondly to know who is responsible for it.

Madam SPEAKER.—There is no use arguing about it. The matter is closed.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—I submit that you must send for the Minister and ask him to reply to our supplementary questions.

Madam SPEAKER.—That cannot be done.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—Then the whole purpose is defeated.

Madam SPEAKER.—There are so many other ways to bring the matter before the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ನಮ್ಮ ಕಾಲಿಂಗ್ ಅಟೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಬೇರೆ ಯವರು ಕ್ಲಾರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಕೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಇಡೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ನಿಂತುಕೊಂಡು ಇಷ್ಟ ಬಂದ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.— * * *

Madam SPEAKER.—It is expunged. ತಾವು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೂರು ಸಾರಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರು.

He said that it is a public sector undertaking and the could not say anything more. When he said there was nothing more, I said the matter is closed. When I said the matter is closed the Minister left the House. If the members want to bring it, there are so many ways by which the matter can be raised.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—The point is, I raised three questions. Two questions were asked and the third clarification I wanted was lifting the lock out and what the Government is thinking in the matter was not very clear. Before we could know it, the Minister has left. Sri Arumugam wanted to ask clarification and I thought the Minister would be here. As he rightly said I was a party in it.

Madam SPEAKER.—I said the matter was closed and Sri Siddappa stood up to say something.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಗೌಡ (ಹೊಳೆನರಸೀಪುರ).—ತಾವು ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಟಿ. ಲಾಕ್ ಔಟ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಡೆದ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಕ್ಲೋಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ, ನಿಜ. ಅದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಕಾಲಿಂಗ್ ಅಟೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ಮೋಷನ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ನಾವು ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ನೋಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಎರಡು ಸಾರಿ ಕ್ಲಾರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಕೇಳಿದರು. ಇನ್ನೂ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ವಿವರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎದ್ದರು. ತಾವು ಅಲ್ಲೋ ಮಾಡುವುಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಕ್ಲೋಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಿರಿ. ಅಷ್ಟು ಅತುರಾತುರವಾಗಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟುಹೋಗುವ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರಪಂಚ ಮುಳುಗಿಹೋಗುವ ಕೆಲಸ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಹೋಗ ಬಹುದಿತ್ತು. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯತೆ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ. ಸದ

***Matter expunged as ordered by the Chair.

ಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಕೆಲವು ವಿವರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಲೋ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಬಿಡುವುದು ತಮಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು. ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಿಂದ ಹೋಗುವ ಧಾಟಿ, ಅವರ ವರ್ತನೆಯು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಏಳುತ್ತದೆ. ಹಿಂದೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲಿಂಗ್ ಅಟೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ಮೋಷನ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಲವಾರು ವಿವರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಪೀಕರ್ ಅವರು ಅಲೋ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಇದು ಈಗ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೇಳಿದಂಥ ವಿವರಣೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದು ನಡೆದು ಬಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿ. ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಹೋಗುವ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಏನು ಇತ್ತು ?

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—For the last 15 minutes the Opposition is making submission. Am I allowed to speak for a few minutes on the subject ? The Hon'ble Member will kindly allow me to speak.

10.30 A.M.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—I am controlled by the Hon'ble Speaker but not by the Minister. Madam Speaker, there is something fishy about this matter. There must be some reasons behind it.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—May I raise a point of order ? Madam Speaker, the dispute is limited only to this question—whether the subject matter of the Calling Attention is over or not ? The House has to respect the ruling of the Hon'ble Speaker. The impression I have got is, after listening to the dicussion that went on between the Hon'ble Members of this side and that side, the Hon'ble Speaker said that the matter stands closed. I want to know under what procedure and law the same question is raised when once it is closed by the Hon'ble Speaker ?If it is allowed kindly permit us to get our Minister.

Madam SPEAKER.—The matter is closed. If the Hon'ble Member wants to raise the question there are other occasions to do so. Therefore, let the members raise it again.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—We are not raising it now. The Minister answered some questions. But we wanted to raise some supplementaries. We have got every right to do so, and no body has got right to take away the rights of the Hon'ble Members. But it is left to the Hon'ble Speaker to decide.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಗೌಡ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪವನ್ನೆತ್ತಿದರು. ನಾವು ಬೇಕಾದ ವಿವರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಪುನಃ ಕೇಳಲು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಬೇಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆವು. ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಬಿಡುವುದು ತಮಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು. ನಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಬಾಯಿ ಮುಚ್ಚಿಸಲು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪವನ್ನೆತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಧಾಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ವಿಷಾದವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ರಿಕಾರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕರೆಸಿ ಪುನಃ ವಿವರಣೆ ಕೇಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ ಎಂಬುದು ಬೇರೆ ವಿಷಯ. ನಾನು ಈಗಾಗಲೆ ಮುಂದಿನ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಹಿಂದಿನ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸುವುದನ್ನು ದಯೆಯಿಟ್ಟು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿ.

Sri C.M. ARUMUGAM.—To make any submission the calling attention motion was not exhausted and it was still going on.

Madam SPEAKER.—There are plenty of opportunities to raise such questions.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—The way he left the House indicates that he was unwilling to answer any question. Does it not amount to a disrespect to the House ?

Madam SPEAKER.—I said it was closed and thereafter he left the House. I cannot permit the member to speak on the closed subject.

SUPPLY OF AGENDA ONE WEEK IN ADVANCE.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGAM.—In the Rules of Procedure it is said that the agenda of the assembly should be given in advance. I have raised this question even in Budget Session also. This agenda should be supplied one week in advance. This is not being followed. What happens in this session is we are getting the agenda every day. We do not know what is next. Certain Bills which were introduced yesterday are noted on the agenda that is supplied to us to-day. It should not be allowed for every day to go on like this. The agenda should be supplied one week in advance.

Madam SPEAKER.—You know the programme was given earlier. But the business had to be changed, and the reason is known to you. Usually the agenda is given only 24 hours in advance. If it is not followed I will see to it.

STOPPAGE OF WATER SUPPLY IN BANGALORE

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—There is an important question for submission. This is a thing which has happened this morning in the Bangalore City. The Commissioner of Bangalore City Corporation has issued orders to stop giving water which was being given to the people round about Bangalore City in trucks and lorries.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲಿನ ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಲಾರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನೀರನ್ನೊದಗಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದುದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ವಿಚಾರ ಇಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಯಿತು. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ಕೊಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಬಹಳ ಜರೂರಾದ ವಿಷಯ. ನಾಳೆ ಈ ಸಭೆ ಸೇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ನಾಡಿದ್ದೂ ಕೂಡ ಸಭೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರಿಂದ ಜನರಿಗೆ ನೀರಿಲ್ಲದೆ ತೊಂದರೆ ಯಾಗದಂತೆ ನೋಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಅರಿಕೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Madam SPEAKERS.—The Hon'ble Minister heard about this now and he will look after it.

ILL-TREATMENT OF LADIES BY CONDUCTORS AND POLICE OFFICIALS.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯ (ರಾಮನಗರಂ).—ಈ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರ ಮಾನಭಂಗ ನಾನಾ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಗಮನ ಸೆಳೆಯುವ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಕಂಡಕ್ಟರುಗಳು ಮಹಿಳೆಯರ ಮಾನಭಂಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಆಜೀಜ್‌ಸೇಠರವರು ಸಿಕ್ಕುವುದೇ ಕಷ್ಟ. ಅವರು ತರಾತುರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಟುಹೋಗುತ್ತಾರೆ. ತಾಯಿಯವರು ಮಹಿಳೆಯರಿಗೆ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕ. ಮೊನ್ನೆ...

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಾಳಿ, ನಾನು ಹೇಳುವುದನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ. ನೀವು ನಿನ್ನೆ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅವರು ಅದನ್ನು ಇನ್ನೂ ನೋಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ವೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ತಾವು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಹೋದರೆ, ಅವರ ಕೈಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸಿಗಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವಾಗ, ಅವರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳ ಬೇಕೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಎಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ನಮಾಮು ನಾಲ್ಕೈದು ದಿವಸಗಳ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಮಾತು ಇದು. ಮಹಿಳೆಯರ ಮಾನಭಂಗ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಕಂಡಕ್ಟರನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಆಕೆಯನ್ನು ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಶುಭಾಶಯವನ್ನು ಕೋರೋಣ. ಆದರೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಈ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮಗಳು ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಮಂತ್ರಿಯಾಗಿರುವಾಗ, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಮೈಸೂರು ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮಗಳು ವಿಧಾನಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷಣಿಯಾಗಿರುವಾಗ, ಇಂತಹ ಅಸಭ್ಯ ವರ್ತನೆ ಈ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ, ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ನಮ್ಮ ಬಾಯಿ ಮುಚ್ಚಿಸಿ ನಮ್ಮನ್ನ ಕೂರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ನ್ಯಾಯವೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಆಪಾದನೆ ಮಾಡಬಹುದೇ ? ತಾವು ನಿನ್ನೆ ನನಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಒಂದು ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಇದೆ. ಬಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಿಳಾ ಮಾನಭಂಗಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ. ಇಂಥಾದನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಟ್.—ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತು. ಅದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಲು ನನಗೆ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡ ಬೇಕು. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯನವರು ಇನ್ನೊಮ್ಮೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರಗಳು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇವತ್ತು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾನು ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಶ್ವಾಸನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಏನು ಇವತ್ತು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಮೂರು ದಿವಸಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದೆ. ನಾನು ನನ್ನ ಸ್ಥಾನಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆದರಿಕೊಂಡಿರಬೇಕೆಂದವನಲ್ಲ. ಯಾರು ಹೇಳಿದೇ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ನನ್ನ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವನ್ನು ನಾನು ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದು ಧರ್ಮ. ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳು ಯಾರೇ ಇರಲಿ, ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರದ ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳೇ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಷ್ಟು ಕಿರಿಚಿ ಹೇಳುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭವೇನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಯಾರ ಮೇಲೆ ತಪ್ಪು ಕಂಡುಬಂದರೂ ಅವರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತಕ್ಷಣ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಕಾಲ್ ಅಟೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ತಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾಳೆ, ನಾಡಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಒಬ್ಬ ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಕಾನ್‌ಸ್ಟೇಬಲ್ ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳ ಮಾನಭಂಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆ, ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಆಡಳಿತ ವಹಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಏನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ! ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಆಡಳಿತ ದಕ್ಷತೆಯಿಂದಹಾಗೂ ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕತೆಯಿಂದ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆ, ಇಂತಹ ಕಷ್ಟ ಗಳು ಈ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಾರಿಗೆ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಶ್ರದ್ಧಾಸಕ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಇದ್ದರೂ, ಆಡಳಿತದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ದಕ್ಷತೆಯಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಬಹಳ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು! ಒಂದು ಉದಾಹರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ, ಬಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಧ್ಯಂಡಿಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ಅಂದರೆ, ಬ್ಯಾಂಡಿ, ಬೀರ್, ಏಸ್ಕಿ ಇರುವಂತಹ ಬಾರ್‌ಗೆ ಏಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬೇಕು ? ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಬಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಬಾರ್‌ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೇ ನೀವು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವುದು ? ನನ್ನ ಗಂಟಲು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ದೊಡ್ಡದು. ಅದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಮೇರ್ಧ್ವನಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತೇನಷ್ಟೆ. ಅವು ಇನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ಹೋಗಲಿ, ಬೇಡವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಬಸ್ಸುಗಳು ಬಾರ್ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಏಕೆ ಹೋಗಬೇಕು ? ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಲ್ಲ ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಶಾಖೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು, ಸಾರಿಗೆ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಗಮನಕೊಟ್ಟು ತಮ್ಮ ತಮ್ಮ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳ ಆಡಳಿತ ನಿರ್ವ ಹಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಬಂದೋಬಸ್ತ್ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದೆ ಎಲ್ಲೂ

(ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯ)

ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳ ಮಾನಭಂಗವಾಗದೇ ಇರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ. ಇಂಥಾದಕ್ಕೆ ಅತಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಯಾಗಿ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕು. ಕೇಲವು ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಗಂಡು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೂ ಕಷ್ಟವಿದೆ. ಇಂಥಾದ್ದಕ್ಕೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕು. ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಕೇಸು ಇದ್ದರೆ, ಪೋಲೀಸಿನವರು ನಾನು ಮೊದಲು ನಾನು ಮೊದಲು ಎಂದು ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಹೋಗುವುದೂ ಉಂಟು. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ ಹೇಳ ಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ, ನಾನೇ ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಎಂದು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಿ, ಯಾರು ದಿಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕವನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಆಗ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಟ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಮುಟ್ಟುತ್ತಾರೆನ್ನೋಣ. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಆಸು ಸಾಹೇಬರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಯಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂದಮೇಲೆ ಈಗ ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ಬರೆಯದೆ ಇನ್ನು ಯಾರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು? 216 ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಈ ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲು ನಾನು ತಮಗೇ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಟ್.—ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಚ್ಚು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಗಂಡಸರ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷಣಿಯಾಗಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಗಳು ಕಂಟ್ರೋಲ್ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. 54 ಕೋಟಿ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಒಬ್ಬ ಪ್ರಧಾನಮಂತ್ರಿ ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಗಳು ಕಂಟ್ರೋಲ್ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಂದಮೇಲೆ ಲೇಡೀಸ್ ಅಷ್ಟು ಹೆಲ್ಪ್‌ಲೆಸ್ ಅಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಷ್ಟು ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ ಇಂತಹ ವಿಷಯು ಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಬಾರದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಂ. ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಗಂಡುಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೂ ಕಷ್ಟವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದು ಅರ್ಥವೇನೋ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೋಣಂದೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷಣಿಯವರೇ, ನಾನು ನಿನ್ನೆ ಒಂದು ಅಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲಾವಧಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದು ಅತ್ಯಂತ ತುರ್ತಾಗಿ ಬರಬೇಕು. ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ತನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಗೃಹಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ನಾನು ಅದನ್ನು ಹಿಂದೆ ಒಂದು ಸಾರಿ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದನ್ನು ಆದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗೆ ತರಿಸಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Mysore Khadi and Village Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1972

Motion to Consider—(Debate Contd.)

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸುಲು ನಾಯ್ಡು (ಶಾಂತಿನಗರ).—ನನ್ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷಣಿಯವರೇ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ತಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ 1972ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮೈಸೂರು ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಖಾದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮೋದ್ಯೋಗಗಳ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ನಾನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಖಾದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮೋದ್ಯೋಗದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಟೀಕೆ ಟಿಪ್ಪಣಿಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಇದು ಕರ್ಮಕಾಂಡವೋ ಅಥವಾ ಮರ್ಮಕಾಂಡವೋ ಎಂಬುದು ನನಗೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅಂತೂ ಇಂತೂ ಈ ಖಾದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮೋದ್ಯೋಗ ಮಂಡಲ ಏನಿದೆಯೋ ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಂತೂ ಅನೇಕ ಜನರು ಅನಾಥಾನ ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಪೂಜ್ಯ ಗಾಂಧೀಜಿಯವರು ಇದು ಒಂದು "ರಚನಾತ್ಮಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವೆಂದು" ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾರಿದ್ದರು. ನಾವೂ ಸಹ ಕೆಲವು ದಿವಸಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತಲೇ ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದರ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ನೊಂದ ಜನ, ಬೆಂದ ಜನ ಕಂದಿದ ಜನ ಏನಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಜೀವನದ ವೃತ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವಲಂಬಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಈ ಒಂದು ಮಂಡಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಂತಹವರು ಸೇಕಡ 80ರಷ್ಟು ಅನೇಕ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಜೀವನ ಮಟ್ಟ ಇಂದೂ ಸಹ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಉಳಿದಿದೆ. ನಾವು ಎಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಅವರ ಜೀವನಮಟ್ಟ ಏರಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮಗೆಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಯೋಜನಾ ಆಯೋಗದವರು ಅವರ ಒಂದು ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಬಾಬಿಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು 20 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಗಳನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಒಂದು ವ್ಯತ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವವರ ನಿರುದ್ಯೋಗ